

## Topicalized and external possessors in Sumerian

The writing system used for recording Sumerian relates to the spoken language not the same way as the modern alphabetical scripts.<sup>1</sup> For a grammatical description it is necessary to keep separate the language and the medium through which we have access to it. Accordingly, in the examples below (except for [1]–[4]) the first line represents the text in standard graphemic transliteration;<sup>2</sup> the second, a segmentation into reconstructed morphemes;<sup>3</sup> the third, a morpheme by morpheme glossing; and the fourth, a translation.

Abbreviations used in the morphemic glosses: A = agent; ABS = absolutive case-marker ; CISL = cislocative prefix; DAT = dative case-marker or prefix; DN = divine name; ERG = ergative case-marker; GEN = genitive case-marker; GN = geographical name; H = human; LOC = locative case-marker or prefix; MIDDLE = middle prefix; MOD = modal prefix; NH = non-human; P= patient; OD = oblique-directive case-marker or prefix; OL = oblique-locative case-marker or prefix; PF = present-future tense; PL = plural marker; PN = personal name; POSS = possessive enclitic; S = subject; SUB = subordinator suffix; TN = temple name; 1SG = first person singular; 3NH = third person non-human; 3SG = third person singular human; 3PL = third person plural human.

Position 1	Position 2	Position 3	Position 4	Position 5
HEAD	MODIFIER	POSSESSOR	PLURAL-MARKER	CASE-MARKER

Table 1: The Sumerian nominal template

Slot 1	MODAL prefixes
Slot 2	COORDINATOR prefix
Slot 3	CISLOCATIVE (ventive) prefix
Slot 4	MIDDLE prefix or 3NH PRONOMINAL prefix ( <i>specifying the person, gender and number of the first in the sequence of adverbial prefixes</i> )
Slot 5	PRONOMINAL prefix ( <i>specifying the person, gender and number of the first in the sequence of adverbial prefixes</i> )
Slot 6	Adverbial I: DATIVE prefix
Slot 7	Adverbial II: COMITATIVE prefix
Slot 8	Adverbial III: ABLATIVE or TERMINATIVE prefix
Slot 9	Adverbial IV: LOCATIVE, OBLIQUE-LOCATIVE, or OBLIQUE-DIRECTIVE prefix
Slot 10	PRONOMINAL prefix ( <i>referring to A or P, depending on the tense</i> )
Slot 11	STEM
Slot 12	PRESENT-FUTURE MARKER ( <i>in intransitive verbs</i> )
Slot 13	PRONOMINAL suffix ( <i>referring A, S, or P depending on the tense</i> )
Slot 14	SUBORDINATOR

Table 2: Sumerian verbal template

<sup>1</sup> For the writing system see Krebernik and Nissen 1994, and Coulmas 2002.

<sup>2</sup> Subscript numerals distinguish homophonic graphemes; graphemes that constitute a word are linked by hyphens, as are enclitics to their host.

<sup>3</sup> In the second lines, subscript P1–5 and S1–14 refer to nominal and verbal structural positions respectively.

CASE <sup>4</sup>	NOMINAL CASE-MARKER		VERBAL AFFIX	approx. meaning
	<i>human</i>	<i>non-human</i>		
ERGATIVE	-/e/	-/e/	pr. prefix (S10) <sup>5</sup> and/or pr. suffix (S13)	
ABSOLUTIVE	-/ø/	-/ø/	pr. prefix (S10) and pr. suffix (S13)	
ADVERBIAL CASES				
DATIVE	-/ra/	-/e/	/a/ (S6)	
OBLIQUE-DIRECTIVE	-/ra/	-/e/	/i/ (S9)	in(to) contact with
OBLIQUE-LOCATIVE	-/ra/	-/’a /	/i/ (S9)	on(to)
LOCATIVE	—	-/’a /	/ni/ (S9)	in(to)
TERMINATIVE	-/še/	-/še/	/ši/ (S8)	towards
ABLATIVE	—	-/ta/	/ta/ (S8)	from
COMITATIVE	-/da/	-/da/	/da/ (S7)	at, with
ADNOMINAL CASES				
GENITIVE	-/ak/	-/ak/	—	
EQUATIVE	-/gin/	-/gin/	—	like

Table 3: Sumerian case system

## Normal genitive constructions

(1)

$p_1\text{ama}=p_3\text{ani}=p_5\text{ra}$   
 mother=POSS.3SG=DAT.H  
 “for his/her mother”

(2)

$p_1\text{ama} \quad p_3[p_1\text{dumu}=p_5\text{ak}]=p_5\text{ra}$   
 mother son=GEN=DAT.H  
 “for the mother of the son”

(3)

$p_1\text{ama} \quad p_3[p_1\text{dumu} \quad p_2\text{zid}=p_3\text{ani}=p_4\text{ene}=p_5\text{ak}]=p_5\text{ra}$   
 mother son true=POSS.3SG=PL=GEN=DAT.H  
 “for the mother of his true sons”

---

<sup>4</sup> In the standard grammars of Sumerian (e.g., Thomsen 1984, and Attinger 1993) cases are identified according to their nominal case-markers. The system used here identifies cases on the basis both of their nominal and verbal marking which results in a considerably different system. For a description of the Sumerian case-system in these terms see Zólyomi 1999: 224–230 (using slightly different labels) and Zólyomi forthcoming.

<sup>5</sup> Verbal structural positions will be referred to as “slots” (= S) throughout this talk to distinguish them from the structural positions of the noun phrase, referred to as “positions” (= P).

(4)

$p_1$ ama	$p_3[p_1]$ dumu	$p_2$ zid	$p_3[p_1]$ lugal= $p_5$ ak]= $p_4$ ene= $p_5$ ak]= $p_5$ ra
mother	son	true	king=GEN=PL=GEN=DAT.H
“for the mother of the king’s true sons”			

## Anticipatory genitive constructions

(5) Ent. 28 5:12–13<sup>6</sup>

nam-nun-da-ki- $\tilde{g}$ ar-ra,	ur <sub>2</sub> -bi	na <sub>4</sub> -a
$p_1$ namnundaki $\tilde{g}$ ara= $p_5$ ak	$p_1$ ur= $p_3$ bi= $p_5$ $\emptyset$	na=a
GN=GEN	base=POSS.3NH=ABS	stone=LOC

mu-na-ni-du<sub>3</sub>

s<sub>3</sub>mu-s<sub>5</sub>nn-s<sub>6</sub>a-s<sub>9</sub>ni-s<sub>10</sub>n-s<sub>11</sub>du-s<sub>13</sub> $\emptyset$   
 CISL-3SG-DAT-LOC-A.3SG-build-P.3NH

“He built him the base of the Namnunda-ki $\tilde{g}$ ara from stone”

(6) TCS 1 36: 3–4

Ur- $\tilde{g}$ ištukul-ka,	gu <sub>4</sub> -a-ni	ga-na-ab-zig <sub>3</sub>
$p_1$ urtukulak= $p_5$ ak	$p_1$ gu= $p_3$ ani= $p_5$ $\emptyset$	s <sub>1</sub> ga-s <sub>5</sub> nn-s <sub>6</sub> a-s <sub>10</sub> b-s <sub>11</sub> zig
PN=GEN	ox=POSS.3SG=ABS	MOD-3SG-DAT-P.3NH-issue

“I want to issue Ur-tukula’s ox for him.”

(7) Gudea Cyl. A 17:11 (2.1.7)

e <sub>2</sub> -a	<sup>d</sup> en-ki-ke <sub>4</sub>	giš- $\tilde{h}$ ur-be <sub>2</sub>
$p_1$ e= $p_5$ ak	enkik=e	$p_1$ giš $\tilde{h}$ ur= $p_3$ bi= $p_5$ e
temple=GEN	DN=ERG	plan=3NH.POSS=OD.NH

si mu-na-sa<sub>2</sub>

si= $\emptyset$  s<sub>3</sub>mu-s<sub>5</sub>nn-s<sub>6</sub>a-s<sub>9</sub>-j-n-sa- $\emptyset$   
 horn=ABS CISL-3SG-DAT-OD-A.3SG-straighten-P.3NH

“The god Enki put right the design of the temple for him.”

(8) Bar-Am 366/qof 1–3<sup>7</sup>

Ur- <sup>d</sup> sul-pa-e <sub>3</sub> -ka,	ensi <sub>2</sub> -ke <sub>4</sub> ,	gu <sub>4</sub>	apin	1-am <sub>3</sub>
$p_1$ ursulpae $k$ = $p_5$ ak	ensik=e	gu	apin=ak	1=am- $\emptyset$
PN=GEN	ruler=ERG	ox	plough=GEN	one=COP-S.3NH

šu-ne<sub>2</sub> ba-an- $\check{s}$ um<sub>2</sub>

$p_1$ šu= $p_3$ ani= $p_5$ e s<sub>4</sub>b-s<sub>6</sub>a-s<sub>10</sub>n-s<sub>11</sub> $\check{s}$ um-s<sub>13</sub> $\emptyset$   
 hand=3SG.POSS=DAT.NH 3NH-DAT-A.3SG-give-P.3NH

“The ruler entrusted (lit.gave to his hand) Ur-Šulpae with one plough-ox”

<sup>6</sup> The inscriptions of Ean. (= Eanatum), En. I (= Enanatum), and Ent. (= Entemena), are numbered here according to Steible 1982. The administrative texts quoted as AWL + no. are numbered according to Bauer 1972. The letter-order TCS 1 36 is form Sollberger 1966. Literary texts are quoted after the edition of the Electronic Text Corpus of Sumerian Literature (Black et al. 1998–); the number in brackets is the composition’s catalogue number in the corpus.

<sup>7</sup> The text was published in Malul 1989:146–147.

(9) Gudes Statue B 8:39–42

$\text{gu}_3\text{-de}_2\text{-a}$	$\text{ensi}_2$	$\text{lagaški-ka}$
$\text{P}_1\text{gudea}$	$\text{P}_1\text{ensi}$	$\text{P}_3[\text{P}_1\text{lagaš}=\text{P}_5\text{ak}]=\text{P}_5\text{ak}$
PN	ruler	GN=GEN=GEN
$\text{lu}_2$	$\text{inim-ni}$	$\text{ib-kur}_2\text{-a}$
$\text{P}_1\text{lu}$	$\text{P}_2[\text{P}_1\text{inim}=\text{P}_3\text{ani}=\text{P}_5\emptyset$	$\text{s}_{10}\text{ib-}\text{s}_{11}\text{kur-}\text{s}_{13}\text{e-}\text{s}_{14}\text{'a}]=\text{P}_5\emptyset$
man	word=3SG.POSS=ABS	P.3NH-change-A.3SG-SUB=ABS
		“the man who changes the orders of Gudea, the ruler of Lagaš ...”

(10) Gudea Cyl A 29:14–17 (2.1.7)

$\text{e}_2\text{-a}$	$\text{ni}_2$	$\text{gal-bi}$	$\text{kalam-ma}$	$\text{mu-ri}$
$\text{P}_1\text{e}=\text{P}_5\text{ak}$	$\text{P}_1\text{ni}$	$\text{P}_2\text{gal}=\text{P}_3\text{bi}=\text{P}_5\emptyset$	$\text{kalam}=\text{'a}$	$\text{s}_3\text{mu-}\text{s}_9\text{n-}\text{s}_{11}\text{ri-}\text{s}_{13}\emptyset$
house=GEN	fear	great=3NH.POSS=ABS	land=LOC	CISL-LOC-settle-S.3NH
$\text{ka-tar-ra-bi}$	$\text{kur-re}$	$\text{ba-ti}$		
$\text{P}_1\text{katara}=\text{P}_3\text{bi}=\text{P}_5\emptyset$	$\text{kur=e}$	$\text{s}_4\text{b-}\text{s}_6\text{a-}\text{s}_{11}\text{ti-}\text{s}_{13}\emptyset$		
praise=3NH.POSS=ABS	highlands=DAT.NH	3NH-DAT-reach-S.3NH		

The house’s great awesomeness settles upon the Land, its praise reaches to the highlands,”

## External possession constructions

(11)

Die Mutter wusch dem Kind die Haare.

“The mother washed the child’s hair”

### ***Identically marked external possession constructions***<sup>8</sup>

(12) Ean. 6 4:13-15

$\text{d}\text{nin-}\tilde{\text{g}}\text{ir}_2\text{-su-ra}$	$\text{a-}\check{\text{s}}\text{ag}_4\check{\text{a}}\check{\text{s}}\text{ag}$	$\text{ki a}\tilde{\text{g}}_2\text{-ni}$
$\text{P}_1\text{ningirsuk}=\text{P}_5\text{ra}$	$\check{\text{a}}\check{\text{s}}\text{ag}$	$\text{ki}\tilde{\text{g}}=\text{ani}=\emptyset$
DN=OL.H	field	beloved=POSS.3SG=ABS
$\check{\text{s}}\text{u-na}$		$\text{mu-}\check{\text{n}}\text{-gi}_4$
$\text{P}_1\check{\text{s}}\text{u}=\text{P}_3\text{ani}=\text{P}_5\text{'a}$		$\text{s}_3\text{mu-}\text{s}_5\text{nn-}\text{s}_9\text{i-}\text{s}_{10}\text{n-}\text{s}_{11}\text{gi-}\text{s}_{13}\emptyset$
hand=POSS.3SG=OL.NH		CISL-3SG-OL-A.3SG-return-P.3NH

“He (= Eannatum) has returned (lit. ‘returned to his hand’) his beloved field to Ningirsu”

(13) AWL 81 5:1-4

$\text{en-ig-gal}$	$\text{nu-banda}_3$	$\text{e}_2$	$\text{zag}$	$\text{iri-ka-ka}$
eniggal	nubanda=e	e	zag	$\text{iri=ak=ak}=\text{'a}$
PN	overseer=ERG	house	border	city=GEN=GEN=LOC
$\check{\text{s}}\text{u}=a$		$\text{bi}_2\text{-gi}_4$		
$\check{\text{s}}\text{u}=\text{'a}$		$\text{s}_4\text{b-}\text{s}_9\text{i-}\text{s}_{10}\text{n-}\text{s}_{11}\text{gi-}\text{s}_{13}\emptyset$		
hand=OL.NH		3NH-OL-A.3SG-return-P.3NH		

“Eniggal, the overseer has delivered (lit. ‘returned to hand’) them (= various sorts of wood) in the house at the border of the city”

---

<sup>8</sup> I owe the terms to McGregor (1999) who distinguishes Idenically and Differently Marked Constructions in Nyulnyulan languages.

(14) Ean. 1 4:24-26

<sup>d</sup> nin- <u>hur-saḡ-ra</u> ,	dug <sub>3</sub>	zid-da-na,	mu-ni-tuš
<u>ninhursaḡ=ra</u>	dug	zid-a= <u>ani</u> =’a	s <sub>3</sub> mu-s <sub>5</sub> <u>nn</u> -s <sub>9</sub> i-s <sub>10</sub> n-s <sub>11</sub> tuš-s <sub>13</sub> ∅
DN=OL.H	knee	right-PT=POSS.3SG=OL.NH	CISL-3SG-OL-A3.SG-sit-P.3SG
“She (= Inana) has made him (= Eanatum) sit on the right knee of the goddess Ninḥursaḡ”			

(15) AWL 183 3:1-4

šubur,	nu-banda <sub>3</sub> ,	gu <sub>2</sub> -ne-ne-a,	e-ne-ḡar
šubur	nubanda=e	gu=anene=’a	s <sub>5</sub> en-ne-s <sub>9</sub> j-s <sub>10</sub> n-s <sub>11</sub> ḡar-s <sub>13</sub> ∅
PN	overseer=ERG	neck=POSS.3PL=OL.NH	3PL-OL-A.3SG-put-P.3NH
“Šubur, the overseer, has entered it (= a given amount of silver) as their (= 3 fishermen) debt” (literally, “put them on their neck”)			

(16) En. I 33 2:13–3:6

kur-kur	šu-ni-še <sub>3</sub> ,	mu-še <sub>3</sub> -ḡar-ra-a	
kur~kur=∅	šu= <u>ani</u> =še	s <sub>3</sub> mu-s <sub>5</sub> <u>n</u> -s <sub>8</sub> ši-s <sub>9</sub> n-s <sub>10</sub> ḡar-s <sub>13</sub> ∅-s <sub>14</sub> ’a-’a	
country~PL=ABS	hand-POSS.3SG-TERM	CISL-3SG-TERM-A.3SG-put-P.3NH-SUB-LOC	
“When he (= Lugal-URUxKÁR) has given all the foreign lands into his (= Enannatum’s) hands”			

(17) Išme-Dagan W (2.5.4.23) A38-40

šag <sub>4</sub> -zu	u <sub>18</sub> -ru-me-en,	bar-zu,	ni <sub>2</sub>	gur <sub>3</sub> -ru-me-en
šag=zu=∅	uru=me-en	bar=zu=∅	ni	gur-ed=me-en
heart=POSS.2SG=ABS	huge=COP=S.2SG	outside=POSS.2SG=ABS	fear	carry-PF=COP-S.2SG

“Your (= Nibru) interior is enormous, your exterior is imbued with fearsomeness”

(18) Iddin-Dagan D (2.5.3.4) 30

igi-zu	ḥuš-me-en	za-pa-[aḡ <sub>2</sub> -zu]	[maḥ <sup>?</sup> -me-en]
igi=zu=∅	ḥuš=me-en	zapaḡ=zu=∅	maḥ=me-en
face=POSS.2SG=ABS	awesome=COP-2SG.S	cry=POSS.2SG-ABS	majestic=COP-2SG.S
“Your face is awesome, your cry is majestic”			

### Differently marked external possession constructions

(19) Išme-Dagan A (2.5.4.01) 90

<sup>d</sup> utu	niḡ <sub>2</sub> -si-sa <sub>2</sub>	inim	gen <sub>6</sub> -na	ka-ḡa <sub>2</sub>
utu=e	niḡsisa	inim	gen-’a=∅	ka=ḡ <u>u</u> =’a
DN=ERG	justice	word	firm-PT=ABS	mouth=POSS.1SG=LOC
<u>ḥ</u> a-ma-ni-in-ḡar s <sub>1</sub> <u>ḥ</u> a-s <sub>3</sub> <u>m</u> -s <sub>6</sub> <u>a</u> -s <sub>9</sub> ni-s <sub>10</sub> n-s <sub>11</sub> ḡar-s <sub>13</sub> ∅ MOD-CISL-DAT-LOC-A.3SG-put-P.3NH				

“The god Utu put justice and reliable words in my mouth.”

“Utu legte mir Gerechtigkeit und zuverlässige Worte in den Mund”

(20) Išme-Dagan Q (2.5.4.17) 5

ḡidru	kug	šu-za	ma-ra-ni-in-ge-en
ḡidru	kug=∅	šu= <u>zu</u> =’a	s <sub>3</sub> ma-s <sub>5</sub> <u>r</u> -s <sub>6</sub> <u>a</u> -s <sub>9</sub> ni-s <sub>10</sub> n-s <sub>11</sub> gen-s <sub>13</sub> ∅
sceptre	holy=ABS	hand=POSS.2SG=LOC	CISL-2SG-DAT-LOC-A.3SG-firmly.put-P.3NH
“He has firmly put the holy sceptre in your hand.”			

“Er legte dir das heiligen Zepter fest in die Hand”

(21) Enmerkar and the lord of Aratta (1.8.2.3) 433–434

en-e	<u>ki</u> g <sub>2</sub> -gi <sub>4</sub> -a	kur-še <sub>3</sub>	du-ur <sub>2</sub>
en=e	<u>ki</u> g <u>gi</u> a	<u>ku</u> r=še	<u>du</u> =ra
lord=ERG	messenger	mountain=TERM	go.PF-DAT.H
gidru	šu-na	mu-un-na-~g <u>a</u> <sub>2</sub> -~g <u>a</u> <sub>2</sub>	
gidru=ø	šu=ani=’a	s <sub>3</sub> mu-s <sub>5</sub> nn-s <sub>6</sub> a-s <sub>9</sub> n-s <sub>11</sub> ~g <u>a</u> ~g <u>a</u> -s <sub>13</sub> e	
sceptre=ABS	hand=POSS.3SG=LOC	CISL-3SG-DAT-LOC-put~PF-A.3SG	

“The lord placed the sceptre in the hands of the messenger going to the mountains.”

	PR'S POSITION	PR'S CASE	VERBAL CROSS-REFERENCING
NORMAL	behind the PM	genitive	no
AGC	in topic position	genitive	no
LEXICAL EPC	in topic position	that of PM, or dative	yes

Table 4: Types of genitive constructions in terms of structure

	TOPICALITY	INTERNAL POSSESSION	EXTERNAL POSSESSION
NORMAL	—	+	—
AGC	+	+	—
LEXICAL EPC	+	—	+

Table 5 Types of genitive constructions in terms of notional features

## References

- Attinger, Pascal (1993), *Eléments de linguistique sumérienne. La construction de du<sub>11</sub>/e/di ‘dire’* (Orbis Biblicus et Orientalis. Sonderband). Fribourg, Suisse: Editions Universitaires / Göttingen: Vandenhoeck & Ruprecht.
- Bauer, Josef (1972), *Altsumerische Wirtschaftstexte aus Lagasch* (Studia Pohl, 9). Rome: Biblical Institute Press.
- Black, Jeremy A., Graham Cunningham, Jarle Ebeling, Esther Flückiger-Hawker, Eleanor Robson, Jon Taylor, and Gábor Zólyomi (1998–), *The Electronic Text Corpus of Sumerian Literature* (<http://www-etcsl.orient.ox.ac.uk/>). Oxford.
- Coulmas, Florian (2002), *Writing Systems. An Introduction to Their Linguistic Analysis* (Cambridge Textbooks in Linguistics). Cambridge, etc.: Cambridge University Press.
- Dixon, R.M.W. (1980), *The Languages of Australia*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Heine, Bernd (1997), *Possession. Cognitive Sources, Forces, and Grammaticalization* (Cambridge Studies in Linguistics, 83). Cambridge, etc.: Cambridge University Press.
- Haspelmath, Martin (1999), ‘External Possession in a European Areal Perspective’, in Payne and Barshi 1999: 109–135.
- Krebernik, Manfred and Hans J. Nissen (1994), ‘Die sumerisch-akkadische Keilschrift’, in H. Günther and O. Ludwig, Eds., *Schrift und Schriftlichkeit / Writing and Its Use*, 1, 1. Berlin — New York: Walter de Gruyter, 274–288.

- Lambrecht, Knud (1994), *Information Structure and Sentence Form. Topic, Focus, and the Mental Representations of Discourse Referents* (Cambridge Studies in Linguistics, 71). Cambridge — New York — Melbourne: Cambridge University Press.
- Malul, Meir (1989), ‘An Ur III Legal Document in the Possession of the Museum of the Kibbutz of Bar-Am, Israel’, *ASJ* 11, 145–154.
- McGregor, William (1999), ‘External Possession Constructions in Nyulnyulan Languages’, in Payne and Barshi 1999: 429–448.
- Payne, Doris L. and Immanuel Barshi, eds. (1999), *External Possession* (Typological Studies in Language, 39). Amsterdam — Philadelphia: John Benjamins Publishing Company.
- Payne, Doris L. and Immanuel Barshi (1999a), ‘External Possession. What, Where, How, and Why’, in Payne and Barshi 1999: 3–29.
- Sollberger, Edmond (1966), *The Business and Administrative Correspondence under the Kings of Ur* (Texts from Cuneiform Source, 1). Locust Valley, NY: J.J. Augustin Publisher.
- Steible, Horst (1982), *Die altsumerischen Bau- und Weihinschriften*, I-II (Freiburger altorientalische Studien, 5). Wiesbaden: Franz Steiner Verlag.
- Thomsen, Marie-Louise (1984<sup>3</sup>), *The Sumerian Language. An Introduction to Its History and Grammatical Structure* (Mesopotamia, 10). Copenhagen: Akademisk Forlag.
- Zólyomi, Gábor (1996), ‘Genitive Constructions in Sumerian’, *Journal of Cuneiform Studies* 48, 39–45.
- Zólyomi, Gábor (1999), ‘Directive Infix and Oblique Object in Sumerian: An Account of the History of their Relationship’, *Orientalia NS* 68 (1999), 215–253.
- Zólyomi, Gábor (forthcoming), ‘Sumerisch’ in Michael Streck, Hrsg., *Schriften und Sprachen des Alten Orients*. Darmstadt: Wissenschaftliche Buchgesellschaft.